

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,205	03/02/2004	Charles A. Milligan	2003-023-DSK	1991	
51344 7	590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
	ECHNOLOGY COR	BUI, HUNG S			
ONE STORAGE TEK DRIVE, MS-43 LOUISVILLE, CO 80028-4309		307	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,205	MILLIGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung S. Bui	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08, Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

This application is restricted by species/subspecies as follows:

- A. 1. System with module configuration according to figs. 1-8;
 - 2. System with module configuration according to figs. 9-11;
 - 3. System with module configuration according to figure 15;
 - 4. System with module configuration according to figure 16; and
 - 5. System with module configuration according to figure 20.
- B. 1. System with shock absorber according to figure 12; and
 - 2. System with no shock absorber according to figure 12.
- C. 1. System with a placement of cooling racks according to page 10, mount on a top;
 - 2. System with a placement of cooling racks according to page 10, mount on a bottom; and
 - 3. System with a placement of cooling racks according to page 10,
 mount on a side.
 - D. 1. System with retention means according to figure 19; and

Application/Control Number: 10/791,205

Art Unit: 2841

- 2. System with retention means being a lever as explained in the paragraph describing in pages 11 and 12.

- E. 1. System with access port according to figure 20; and
 - 2. System with no access port according to figure 20.

A proper species selection with include one item from each category. For example, species A1B1C1D1E1 refers to a system with configuration according to figures 1-8, shock absorber according to figure 12, a placement of cooling racks according to page 10, mount on a top, with retention means according to figure 19; and access port according to figure 20.

2. A telephone call was not made to attorney for applicant because the restriction requirement is complicated with multiple species. It is difficult for applicant to select species through by oral calling.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,205

Art Unit: 2841

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,205 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/28/06 Hung Bui Art Unit 2841

UKAMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800